UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

: 11-cv-3236(CBA)(VVP)
Plaintiff, : SUKHJINDER SINGH,

: U.S. Courthouse - versus -

: Brooklyn, New York

CHASE HOME FINANCE, et al. : Defendant : January 6, 2012

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE VIKTOR V. POHORELSKY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Defendant: Cynthia Ann Augello, Esq.

Cullen and Dykman LLP

100 Quentin Roosevelt Blvd.

Garden City, NY 11530

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc. <u>Transcription Service</u>:

3589 Tiana Street Seaford, N.Y. 11783

Transcriptions2@verizon.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

THE COURT: This is 11-cv-3236, <u>Singh v. Chase</u>

Home Finance, et al.

2.1

2.3

Counsel, please state your appearance.

MS. AUGELLO: Cynthia Augello from Cullen and Dykman for Chase Home Finance.

THE COURT: The time is now 10:15. This matter was scheduled to commence at 10 a.m. this morning and the scheduling order setting this conference was mailed out on December 16. The order required the plaintiff Sukhjinder Singh to appear in person or to have an attorney who has filed a notice of appearance on his behalf appear at this hearing.

A brief background of this case is that the matter was filed initially by the plaintiff acting prose. At the initial conference in this matter held on October 13 of last year, an attorney appeared on behalf of the plaintiff, Janet Fashakin (ph.). The attorney had not, at that point, filed a notice of appearance but assured the Court that she would be doing so.

Before I proceed any further, Ms. Augello, have you heard anything at all from Ms. Fashakin since that conference?

MS. AUGELLO: No, I have not.

THE COURT: All right. And there's been no notice of appearance filed, I presume. Nothing was

served on you; is that correct?

2.1

2.3

MS. AUGELLO: I haven't received anything.

was directed to file the notice of appearance if she intended to appear immediately after the conference by going down to the clerk's office and that obviously did not happen. But as the Court noted, in response, I think to the defendant's letter seeking to renew a motion to dismiss, the Court scheduled today's hearing in an effort to find out how this matter would proceed. And gave notice to the defendant -- excuse me, to the plaintiff, about today's conference.

The scheduling order also provided a warning in bold faced type that a failure to appear at the hearing would serve as grounds for dismissal of the case for failure to prosecute. The copy was mailed. A copy was mailed to the pro se party, as well as to Ms. Fashakin. No one is here.

The notice was sent to the pro se litigant at his address of record in the case which is 101-11 132 Street in South Richmond Hill, New York. He's not here. No attorney has appeared. I'm therefore recommending that the matter be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

I will have a transcript of this report and recommendation prepared and filed and it will constitute

- the report and recommendation that the case be dismissed
 with prejudice -- be dismissed. It will be a
 recommendation that the matter be dismissed without
 prejudice because I have no -- I just don't know what's
 going on.
 - But that will at least obviate the need to proceed with the motion to dismiss at this point and if the plaintiff wants to refile, then he'll have to bear the cost of refiling and we'll see if the case will proceed at that point.

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Do you know anything about what's going on with
 the underlying property that's -- I presume this has to
 do with a mortgage or some other kind of property
 dispute?
 - MS. AUGELLO: It does. The complaint was kind of unclear. It mentioned two mortgages. As far as I know, there's only a home equity line on the property. Nothing is going on with it, as far as I know. It's not in foreclosure or anything as far as I know.
 - THE COURT: Oh, I see. So there's not a foreclosure action in state court.
- MS. AUGELLO: Not that I'm aware of.
- THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything that you wanted to say, Ms. Augello, about this? I mean I guess I should give you a chance to be heard but it's my

recommendation -- it would be my recommendation, unless you oppose it, that the matter be dismissed. I can't do that. It has to be done by the district judge who is assigned, which is Judge Amon, I think at this point.

MS. AUGELLO: Yes.

THE COURT: But that's what I would recommend unless you have some different view.

MS. AUGELLO: No, I agree.

THE COURT: All right. Well, the recommendation is based on the failure to appear today, the failure to follow other directions of the Court.

Mr. Singh was given an opportunity to amend the complaint if he wished in order to avoid the motion to dismiss the defendant's intended to file. That filing was supposed to be made by a date certain and in accordance with prior orders of the court. That did not occur. He has not appeared today. In fact, I don't think Mr. Singh has ever appeared in this matter. The only appearance was by Ms. Fashakin and she represented to the Court that she would be filing a notice of appearance. That has never happened. So there's no attorney in the case.

And given the warning that Mr. Singh had that his appearance was required today, the Court really has no other way to proceed other than to recommend dismissal. We don't have a plaintiff. We can't proceed

1 in the absence of the plaintiff.

So, the transcript will be mailed. I will direct the clerk to mail a copy of the transcript of this recommendation to Mr. Singh. He is advised by means of the transcript that he must file objections, if he has any, to the recommendation within fourteen days of receiving the transcript. Failure to file any objections within that fourteen day period will constitute a waiver of any right to object to any order or appeal from any order entered by Judge Amon in reliance on this report and recommendation.

Unless there's anything further, we are adjourned.

MS. AUGELLO: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: All right.

16 (Matter concluded)

CERTIFICATE

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this ${\bf 9th}$ day of ${\bf January}$, 2012.

Linda Ferrara

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.